



DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION STATE COMMITTEE of PSYCHOLOGISTS

JULY 2013

GREETINGS FROM THE STATE COMMITTEE OF PSYCHOLOGISTS

by Mark Skrade, Psy.D., Chair

Since the beginning of my term as Chair of the State Committee of Psychologists (SCOP) in September of 2011, I have been humbled by the continued commitment of the Committee member's and staff's unequivocal commitment to our charge from the Governor to "serve and protect the public" through licensure and regulation of those who are qualified by statute and choose to practice psychology in the State of Missouri. SCOP members are acutely aware of the responsibilities of practicing psychologists and the importance of licensure to psychologists as an ongoing path to their livelihood. The Committee is also cognizant of the need to be vigilant, responsive, thorough and compassionate when it comes to concerns and complaints from the public, who we serve and protect. These are increasingly interesting and complex times in which we live; education and training through electronically-mediated means, supervision realities and responsibilities of the supervisor and supervisee, service-delivery technologies such as telepsychology,

the Affordable Care Act and subsequent rapid and potentially dramatic change in these "modern" times of healthcare service and delivery makes us all the more aware of the significance of the work we (i.e., SCOP) do.

SCOP members attend various professional meetings related to national and international licensure and regulation matters. As an umbrella organization, the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) holds meetings twice per year. ASPPB workgroups, taskforces and committees have been working on several regulatory initiatives over the past several years. These initiatives include:

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES

This task force began work in June 2009 with the following charges:

1. Revise and update the ASPPB Guidelines for Continuing Professional Education (June 2001) with input from member boards and other interested stakeholders.

2. Study the role that regulatory bodies in psychology can have in assuring that licensed/registered psychologists maintain their competence.

3. Make recommendations to the regulatory bodies on how to implement maintenance of competence/licensure procedures.



Mark Skrade

MODEL ACT AND REGULATIONS COMMITTEE (MARC)

The MARC has been working since March 2011 to amend the ASPPB Model Regulations. With the completion and approval of a revised Model Licensure and Registration Act (MLRA), considerable revisions are now required to the Model Regulations to make them consistent with the new MLRA, and to reflect changes in the profession since the last revision of the Model Regulations.

(con't on [next page...](#))

STATE COMMITTEE OF PSYCHOLOGISTS MISSION STATEMENT

It is the mission of the State Committee of Psychologists to serve and protect the public by providing an accessible, responsible and accountable regulatory system that:

- Licenses only qualified professionals
- Appropriately enforces standards
- Maintains an open communication network

Governor

The Honorable Jeremiah W. (Jay)
Nixon

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

John M. Huff, Director

Division of Professional Registration

Jane A. Rackers, Director

State Committee of Psychologists

Mark Skrade, Psy.D., Chair
Rogersville, Missouri

Patrick Maloney, Ph.D., Secretary
St. Louis, Missouri

Mark Kinder, Ph.D, Member
Cape Girardeau, Missouri

Renee Stucky, Ph.D., Member
Columbia, Missouri

Sharon Lightfoot, Ph.D., Member
St. Louis, Missouri

Nancy O'Reilly, Psy.D., Member
Rogersville, Missouri

Vacant - Member Position

Vacant - Public Member Position

Staff

Pamela Groose, Executive Director
Roxy Brockman, Administrative
Assistant
Hollie Sheller, Processing
Technician II
Terrie Miller, Processing
Technician II

**3605 Missouri Boulevard, P.O. Box
1335**

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-0099

(573) 526-0661 Fax

(800) 735-2966 TTY

URL: pr.mo.gov/psychologists

E-mail: scop@pr.mo.gov

GREETINGS FROM THE STATE COMMITTEE OF PSYCHOLOGISTS CONTINUED...

ASPPB SUPERVISION GUIDELINES

The Supervision Guidelines Task Force was formed in 2009 and held its first meeting, a planning meeting July 2010 and meetings continue to the present. The next step in the process of guideline development will be meetings of a workgroup with representatives from the broader psychological community. The task force is to identify all necessary elements and an outline of appropriate standards for such guidelines. A preliminary version of the Guidelines document has been presented to the ASPPB delegates for their consideration and input.

ASPPB TELEPSYCHOLOGY TASKFORCE (TTF) IS CHARGED TO:

1. Draft standards and guidelines around the use of technology in the provision of psychological services and training.
2. Explore the use and impact of technology across ASPPB member jurisdictions.
3. Generate dialogue and feedback with member jurisdictions about salient regulatory issues.

ASPPB/APA JOINT TELEPSYCHOLOGY TASKFORCE

The Telepsychology TF met for their first face-to-face meeting July 2011. At the conclusion of the meeting, all members of the TTF agreed that the following principle would guide its work:

The APA/ASPPB/APAIT Joint Task Force for the Development of Telepsychology Guidelines for Psychologists is committed to giving guidance with practical application to psychologists who are engaged, or wish to engage, in telepsychology, including professional guidelines, regulatory direction, and risk management principles.

As you can see, there is much work occurring within ASPPB and there will be much more to report in coming months and years. As noted – these are interesting, challenging and fast-paced times.

Finally, on behalf of the Committee members and staff, I want to thank Dr. Mark Kinder for his very capable service as the Chair of the Disciplinary Committee and more recently as Chair of the Missouri State Committee of Psychologists. Mark's professionalism, thoughtful approach to issues and steady hand has been of benefit to all that serve on the State Committee - and are served by SCOP. He remains a member of SCOP and for that we are appreciative. Mark hails from Cape Girardeau, MO, and practices as a health psychologist at St Francis Medical Center.



BOARD MEMBERS



**MARK SKRADE,
PSY.D.**
Rogersville, MO

Appointed:
August 19, 2009



**PATRICK MALONEY,
PH.D.**
St. Louis, MO

Appointed:
July 30, 2009



**MARK KINDER,
PH.D.**
Cape Girardeau, MO

Appointed:
May 3, 2007



RENEE STUCKY, PH.D.
Columbia, MO

Appointed:
July 31, 2013



**SHARON LIGHTFOOT,
PH.D.**
St. Louis, MO

Appointed:
August 26, 2009



**NANCY O'REILLEY,
PSY.D.**
Rogersville, MO

Appointed:
October 2, 2009

MESSAGE FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR


by Pam Groose

HSP: (HEALTH SERVICE PROVIDER) DESIGNATION

I am continually asked, "what is the advantage of the HSP designation?" and I attempt to share what I have learned over the years but the questions just keep coming so I thought it might be good to put something in the Newsletter in an attempt to clarify those questions still out there. Please remember this is my understanding/my opinion and not that of the Committee nor is it rule or statute, only an attempt to clarify.

Missouri issues what we call a generic license to psychologists. By generic I mean Missouri issues a license to psychologists who are educated and trained to provide health services and issues a license to psychologists who are educated and trained to provide non health services and the license looks the same even though the requirements for licensure are somewhat different. In an attempt for psychologists to show they are licensed to provide health services the HSP designation language was put into statute by the Missouri legislature so those licenses did "look different". In the beginning it was mandatory for anyone who supervised an individual during their post-doctoral experience to hold the HSP designation, that language was later changed to require HSP designation or be eligible to hold the HSP designation. When that happened many folks dropped the HSP designation.

In the beginning most insurance companies and hospitals required the HSP designation, and it was



Pam Groose

my understanding they wanted to know the psychologist was licensed to practice in the health service area. Unless your insurance company or employer requires you to hold the HSP designation the only other advantage is that the designation does make your license look different, it is your proof of licensure at the clinical level. There are other states who do license with a "clinical" designation and it is thought that holding that designation when applying for licensure in another state helps prove up licensure in the health service area.

There has been some discussion in the past about changing the language in statute to include the HSP designation automatically to all licenses who meet the requirements so that the licenses would look different, those with the HSP designation would indicate health service psychologists and those without would indicate non health service psychologists but that proposed change to date has not been submitted to the legislature for change. If that is something you think the profession could benefit from, consider contacting your state association, the Missouri Psychological Association (MOPA), if you are a member or if not a member consider joining in order to promote this language change.

Pamela Groose

PSYCHOLOGIST'S RESPONSIBILITIES

Ph.D., State Committee Member

Most psychologists are keenly aware of their ethical and professional responsibilities when they are directly dealing with clients. Ask psychologists to describe ethical responsibilities in direct client care, and the majority could provide a fairly comprehensive template.

Ask psychologists to describe ethical responsibilities outside of direct clinical care, and more would hesitate in responding.

The Missouri Ethical Rules of Conduct state "the psychologist, when functioning as a licensed psychologist, shall be fully responsible for his/her own professional decisions and professional actions." (Chapter 5, Rules of Conduct 20CSR 2235-5.030, 1C).

So what are the ethical issues and responsibilities that are important for psychologists to keep in mind outside of the therapeutic encounter?

Psychologists are responsible for keeping their license current.

This is of vital importance. While the state committee does send out reminders for license renewal, this is done as a courtesy. The individual psychologist must do his/her part in keeping the committee aware of current contact information. In addition, it is the psychologist's responsibility to keep track of renewal cycles and make sure deadlines for renewal are met. The psychologist should also keep the committee apprised of any action that could reasonably affect their license, such as a legal proceeding. When completing the renewal application, if you are

unclear how to answer a question, you may seek guidance from a colleague, or an attorney, to make sure you are completing the form accurately.

20 CSR 2235-1.050



Sharon Lightfoot

Psychologists are responsible for the actions of their staff. The psychologist must take care to train staff in key ethical behaviors regarding confidentiality of patient information, proper billing procedures and professional conduct in patient interaction. Continued oversight is important to make sure the office is running in accordance with ethical principles, and any problems that are discovered need to be remedied as quickly as possible.

20 CSR 2235-5.030 (9) (D)

Psychologists are responsible for the actions of their supervisees. The psychologist must view any work done by the supervisee as their own. Your signature on an evaluation or progress report is your public stamp of approval that the work meets ethical and professional guidelines, and that you would stake your license on this work.

20 CSR 2235-5.030 (2) (G)

Psychologists are responsible to provide coverage for clients when they are away from the office. The psychologist must have a procedure in place in case of planned leaves and emergencies. This plan should be communicated to the client. Office staff and/or another psychologist needs to be apprised of emergency procedures to ensure clients are informed and offered referrals should the psychologist suddenly be unavailable.

20 CSR 2235-5.030 (5)

VISIT OUR WEB PAGE



Go to pr.mo.gov/psychologists to view the State Committee of Psychologists' web page.

You will find information relating to the following:

- Current committee members
- Staff Members
- Upcoming meeting and examination dates
- Open meeting minutes
- Psychology laws and rules
- Draft of pending rules
- Related links
- Psychology News (sign up)

OTHER PLACES TO VISIT ON THE WEB:



CPQ - www.asppb.org/CPQ
ASPPB - www.asppb.org
National Register - www.nationalregister.com
MOPA - www.mopsych.org
APA - www.apa.org



DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

Taken between January 1, 2012 and June 30, 2013



Haddock, Keith
Lees Summit, MO

Effective: February 16, 2012
Censure

Reason: Licensee violated 20 CSR 2235-5.030(8)(A) and Section 337.035.2(5), (6), (13) and (15), RSMo.



Poston, Walker S
Lees Summit, MO

Effective: February 16, 2012
Censure

Reason: Licensee violated 20 CSR 2235-5.030(8)(A) and Section 337.035.2(5), (6), (13) and (15), RSMo.



Donovan, David B
Kansas City, MO

Effective: June 11, 2012 to May 17, 2013
Suspended

Reason: License suspended by operation of law pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, which requires suspension of the professional license of individuals who fail to file state tax returns or fail to pay state tax liabilities.



Forsyth, Pamela
Nixa, MO

Effective: June 11, 2012 to August 28, 2012
Suspended

Reason: License suspended by operation of law pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, which requires suspension of the professional license of individuals who fail to file state tax returns or fail to pay state tax liabilities.



Post, Timothy A
Kansas City, MO

Effective: June 11, 2012 to July 18, 2012
Suspended

Reason: License suspended by operation of law pursuant to Section 324.010, RSMo, which requires suspension of the professional license of individuals who fail to file state tax returns or fail to pay state tax liabilities.



Trimble, John Thomas
St Louis, MO

Effective: July 17, 2012
Revoked

Reason: Licensee violated Section 337.035.2(5), (6), and (15), RSMo.





McCarty, Rhett
Osage Beach MO

Effective: March 1, 2013
Revoked

Reason: McCarty used fraud, misrepresentation, and/or deception in billing 19 clients and/or third-party payors during the time that those 19 were clients to whom McCarty provided professional psychological services. McCarty falsely made and forged, or caused another to falsely make and forge, the signatures of 5 clients on sign-in sheets McCarty submitted or caused to be submitted to medicare and/or Medicaid for the purpose of receiving payment for professional psychological services. McCarty entered a plea of guilty in a criminal prosecution under the laws of the United States.



Becker, Stephen
Belleville, IL

Effective: May 3, 2013
Revoked

Reason: violated statute 337.035.2(2) The person has been finally adjudicated and found guilty, or entered a plea of guilty or nolocontendere, in a criminal prosecution under the laws of any state or of the United States, for any offense reasonably related to the qualifications, functions or duties of any profession licensed or regulated under this chapter, for any offense an essential element of which is fraud, dishonesty or an act of violence, or for any offense involving moral turpitude, whether or not sentence is imposed.

8/28/07 arrested DWI, 11/13/07 convicted DWI, 2/25/09 charged DWI due to 10/19/08 incident, 5/26/09 charged DWI due to incident on 9/28/08, 4/1/10 convicted class D felony DWI 4 years imprisonment, 4/13/10 convicted class D felony DWI 4 years imprisonment to run concurrently with previous sentence, 5/27/10 charged felony DWI due to 10/9/089 incident, 8/4/10 convicted class B felony DWI sentenced 5 years imprisonment to run concurrently with sentences serving.



Fenger, T Nick
New Port Richey, FL

Effective: May 3, 2013
Revoked

Reason: Licensee conducted a psychological evaluation. Licensee failed to include a presenting problem, purpose or diagnosis for the evaluation, failed to include in the records the date and description of each contact, the nature, type, and goals of any psychological intervention, failed to include the fee arrangement, and failed to include notations and results of consultations with other providers.

CURRENTLY DISCIPLINED PSYCHOLOGISTS

Fontana, John
*Probation: 07/08/2011
to 07/08/2013*



2013

DATES *to* REMEMBER

August 14, 2013	Jurisprudence Examination	Jefferson City
September 19, 2013	Committee Meeting	St. Louis
September 20, 2013	Jurisprudence Examination	St. Louis
September 20, 2013	Oral Examinations	St. Louis
October 9, 2013	Jurisprudence Examination	Jefferson City
November 13, 2013	Jurisprudence Examination	Jefferson City
December 5, 2013	Committee Meeting	Kansas City
December 6, 2013	Jurisprudence Examination	Kansas City
December 6, 2013	Oral Examinations	Kansas City

IMPORTANT NOTE

Please keep the State Committee of Psychologists informed of your current e-mail address as many notifications will come to you via e-mail notices.

You can add or change your e-mail using the [Change of Address form](#) on the website.

EPPP FEE INCREASED



The Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards announced in 2011 that the fee for each candidate to sit for the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP) would increase to \$600.00 on March 1, 2013. The prior fee of \$450 had been in effect since 2001.

MESSAGE FROM IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT OF MOPA

Hi everyone,
I want to thank everyone for making it so easy
for me to be your President this last year.

We are so much stronger now than we were three years ago. I told past President Debra Schlitt I thought it all started with her efforts. We have new lobbyists, a new executive director, more control over our website, a better business model and better business practices, and a clearer and a quicker legislative advocacy process. With the H&B codes expected to be authorized by the governor, our scope of practice will include more clearly the right for psychologists to treat patients with physical health problems. We have developed a number of advocates in the legislator. We have had two successful conferences in a row. The life time achievement awards at the conference last weekend were long overdue and wonderfully conducted. There are pieces that need to be smoothed out and sharpened up, but the building blocks for improvement are in place and are of good quality. MOPA is stronger today.

For those who have not had the opportunity, I want to say how fortunate we are to have Mark Bradford as our new President. There are few people in Missouri that have a better understanding of how important psychology is. His commitment to us is without equal.

He is insightful, intelligent, and astonishing energetic. He is one of those who I have turned to many, many times in the last past years for advice and support and encouragement. He will keep us on the path that we have created and help us have a place at the table as the future of healthcare is being decided.

If there is anything I would wish for us, it is for all of us to really understand and embrace the idea that psychology is a profession. It is part of who we are. We are more than workers in an office, a school, or a hospital. We are more than mere technicians. And when we embrace the idea that psychology is a profession and a central part of who we are in this life, we become part of a tradition, a science, and join a fellowship of other professionals that makes all of us far greater than any of us might be individually.

We are involved in something that is important and life changing. It is something to celebrate, enjoy and cherish.

My very best to all of you,

Chuck Hollister, Ph.D.
Past President

THANK YOU TO DEBORAH ONKEN



Dr. Deborah Onken

The members and staff of the State Committee of Psychologists would like to thank **Dr. Deborah Onken** for her years of service to the State Committee of Psychologists. Dr. Onken was appointed on July 30, 2009 and served until July 31, 2013 when Governor Nixon appointed Dr. Renee Stucky to replace her. Welcome Dr. Stucky.





THINGS TO KNOW

ADDRESS CHANGE: All licensees are required to notify the State Committee of Psychologists within 30 days of an address change. The notification can be submitted in writing to the State Committee of Psychologists, P.O. Box 1335, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, by fax to (573) 526-0661, by telephone to (573) 751-0099 or go to our website and [complete the Change of Address Form](#).

DUPLICATE LICENSE: Licensees can be issued a duplicate renewal license by submitting a written request to the State Committee of Psychologists, P.O. Box 1335, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 or by fax to (573) 526-0661.

WALL-HANGING LICENSE: Licensees can request a duplicate wall-hanging license by submitting an Application for Duplicate Wall Hanging License to State Committee of Psychologists, P.O. Box 1335, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. A \$25.00 fee made payable to the State Committee of Psychologists must accompany the completed form. This duplicate wall-hanging

license will not be an exact duplicate of the original. Contact our office for further explanation.

HSP CERTIFICATION: Licensees must request an application packet from the State Committee of Psychologists. Completed application with required fee of \$100.00 must be mailed to the State Committee of Psychologists, P.O. Box 1335, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

LICENSURE VERIFICATION TO ANOTHER STATE: Licensees must submit the required verification/certification form from the state in which licensure is being requested along with the required \$25.00 fee to the State Committee of Psychologists, P.O. Box 1335, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

RENEWALS: Renewals are mailed to all current licensees in October of each odd numbered year. Renewals are mailed to the most current address on file with the State Committee of Psychologists. The

renewal license will be valid for 2 years, February 1 to January 31.

MASTER'S DEGREE TO DOCTORAL DEGREE PSYCHOLOGISTS: Section 337.090, RSMo, of the Psychology Practice Act states in part "Any person licensed on the basis of a master's degree who has then earned a doctoral degree may use the title "doctor" or hold himself out in his practice as a psychologist as having a doctoral degree so long as it is from an accredited institution of higher education and so long as the degree is relevant to the practice of psychology."

Licensees who have obtained a doctoral degree after being licensed based upon the master's degree can submit evidence of the doctoral degree to the State Committee of Psychologists and it will be added to the permanent record. Licensees who wish to have their license record upgraded to reflect that they are licensed at the doctoral level must submit evidence of the degree and request in writing a Committee review and upgrade.

Please send your comments/suggestions regarding the Newsletter to:
Executive Director, State Committee of Psychologists,
P.O. Box 1335, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Or you can e-mail: pam.groose@pr.mo.gov or to scop@pr.mo.gov
Your comments/suggestions are welcomed and encouraged.

Go to pr.mo.gov/psychologists and complete the change of address form.



RULE UPDATES

The following proposed rule updates were published in the Mo Reg.

The comment period begins on 7/15/13 and ends on 8/15/13.

Any comments should be directed to

Pamela Groose, P.O. Box 1335, JC, MO 65102 or to

Pam Groose at pr.mo.gov or fax to 573.523.0661.

Title 20—DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE, FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION

Division 2235—State Committee of Psychologists Chapter 1—General Rules PROPOSED AMENDMENT

20 CSR 2235-1.020 Fees. The committee is proposing to add subsection (1)(P).

PURPOSE: This amendment adds a fingerprinting fee paid to the Missouri State Highway Patrol.

(1) The following fees are established for the State Committee of Psychologists and are payable to the State Committee of Psychologists:

(P) Fingerprinting Fee

Amount to be determined by the Missouri State Highway Patrol

AUTHORITY: section[s] 337.030, RSMo Supp. [2003] 2012, and section 337.050, RSMo 2000. This rule originally filed as 4 CSR 235-1.020. Emergency rule filed Dec. 9, 1981, effective Jan. 11, 1982, expired April 4, 1982. Original rule filed Dec. 9, 1981, effective April 4, 1982. For intervening history, please consult the *Code of State Regulations*. Amended: Filed June 5, 2013.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will cost state agencies or political subdivisions approximately two hundred forty-five dollars and seventy-nine cents to two hundred fifty-two dollars and five cents (\$245.79–\$252.05) annually for the life of the rule and will increase revenue for the Missouri State Highway Patrol by approximately four thousand five hundred sixty-three dollars to six thousand fifty dollars (\$4,563–\$6,050) annually for the life of the rule. It is anticipated that the costs will recur for the life of

the rule, may vary with inflation, and are expected to increase at the rate projected by the Legislative Oversight Committee.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will cost private entities approximately four thousand five hundred sixty-three dollars to six thousand fifty dollars (\$4,563–\$6,050) annually for the life of the rule. It is anticipated that the costs will recur for the life of the rule, may vary with inflation, and are expected to increase at the rate projected by the Legislative Oversight Committee.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Missouri State Committee of Psychologists, PO Box 1335, Jefferson City, MO 65102, by facsimile at (573) 526-0661, or via email at scop@pr.mo.gov. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty (30) days after publication of this notice in the *Missouri Register*. No public hearing is scheduled.


Division 2235—State Committee of Psychologists Chapter 1—General Rules PROPOSED AMENDMENT

20 CSR 2235-1.025 Application for Provisional Licensure. The committee is proposing to add new subsections (3)(D) and (3)(E).

PURPOSE: This amendment sets forth the fingerprinting and reference requirements for applicants.

(3) The committee may issue a provisional license to practice psychology to any applicant who meets all the following requirements:

(B) Official transcript received directly from an issuing institution verifying that the applicant is a graduate of a recognized educational institution with a doctoral degree in psychology as defined in section



337.025, RSMo; [and]

(C) A post-degree supervision plan submitted by the primary supervisor made on the form provided by the State Committee of Psychologists[.];

(D) Submission of references on forms provided by the committee by three (3) mental health professionals other than postdoctoral supervisors who have known the applicant a minimum

of two (2) years. The references cannot be from classmates; and

(E) Proof of submission of fingerprints to the Missouri State Highway Patrol's approved vendor for both a Missouri State Highway Patrol and Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) fingerprint background check. Any fees due for fingerprinting background checks shall be paid by the applicant.

AUTHORITY: sections 337.020 and 337.050.9, RSMo 2000. This rule originally filed as 4 CSR 235-1.025. Original rule filed July 26, 1999, effective Feb. 29, 2000. Moved to 20 CSR 2235-1.025, effective Aug. 28, 2006. Amended: Filed July 9, 2008, effective Jan. 30, 2009. Amended: Filed June 5, 2013.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

*NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Missouri State Committee of Psychologists, PO Box 1335, Jefferson City, MO 65102, by facsimile at (573) 526-0661, or via email at scop@pr.mo.gov. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty (30) days after publication of this notice in the **Missouri Register**. No public hearing is scheduled.*

**Division 2235—State Committee of Psychologists
Chapter 1—General Rules
PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

20 CSR 2235-1.026 Application for Temporary Licensure. The committee is proposing to add new subsection (3)(C).

PURPOSE: This amendment sets forth the fingerprinting requirements for applicants.

(3) A temporary license will be issued to any applicant licensed as a psychologist in another jurisdiction, who is applying for licensure in this state either by endorsement of score pursuant to 20 CSR 2235-2.065 and/or by reciprocity pursuant to section 337.029, RSMo, and 20 CSR 2235-2.070 and who meets all the following requirements:

(A) A completed application accompanied by the appropriate fee, as defined in 20 CSR 2235-1.020; [and]

(B) A completed Verification of Licensure Form provided by the State Committee of Psychologists and received directly from the jurisdiction in which applicant holds licensure[.]; and

(C) Proof of submission of fingerprints to the Missouri State Highway Patrol's approved vendor for both a Missouri State Highway Patrol and Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) fingerprint background check. Any fees due for fingerprinting background checks shall be paid by the applicant.

AUTHORITY: sections 337.020 and 337.050.9, RSMo 2000. This rule originally filed as 4 CSR 235-1.026. Original rule filed July 26, 1999, effective Feb. 29, 2000. Moved to 20 CSR 2235-1.026, effective Aug. 28, 2006. Amended: Filed July 9, 2008, effective Jan. 30, 2009. Amended: Filed June 5, 2013.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

*NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Missouri State Committee of Psychologists, PO Box 1335, Jefferson City, MO 65102, by facsimile at (573) 526-0661, or via email at scop@pr.mo.gov. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty (30) days after publication of this notice in the **Missouri Register**. No public hearing is scheduled.*

**Division 2235—State Committee of Psychologists
Chapter 1—General Rules
PROPOSED RESCISSION**

20 CSR 2235-1.030 Application for Licensure.

This rule provided the procedures followed by the committee in receiving and considering information

relative to an applicant's qualifications for licensure by examination.

PURPOSE: This rule is being rescinded and readopted to outline and standardize the procedures followed by the committee in receiving and considering information relative to an applicant's qualifications for licensure by examination.

AUTHORITY: sections 337.020.1 and 337.050.9, RSMo Supp. 1998. This rule originally filed as 4 CSR 235-1.030. Emergency rule filed Jan. 22, 1982, effective Feb. 1, 1982, expired June 1, 1982. Original rule filed Jan. 22, 1982, effective May 13, 1982. For intervening history, please consult the [Code of State Regulations](#). Rescinded: Filed June 5, 2013.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rescission will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rescission will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed rescission with the Missouri State Committee of Psychologists, PO Box 1335, Jefferson City, MO 65102, by facsimile at (573) 526-0661, or via email at scop@pr.mo.gov. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty (30) days after publication of this notice in the [Missouri Register](#). No public hearing is scheduled.

Division 2235—State Committee of Psychologists

Chapter 1—General Rules

PROPOSED RULE

20 CSR 2235-1.030 Application for Licensure

PURPOSE: This rule outlines and standardizes the procedures followed by the committee in receiving and considering information relative to an applicant's qualifications for licensure by examination.

(1) Applications for licensure must be made on forms provided by the State Committee of Psychologists and include the following:

- (A) Appropriate fee as defined in 20 CSR 2235-1.020;
- (B) Official transcript received directly from an issuing institution verifying that the applicant is a graduate of a recognized educational institution with a doctoral degree in psychology as defined in section 337.025, RSMo, unless applicant previously submitted for

a provisional or temporary license issued by the committee;

(C) A post-degree supervision plan submitted by the primary supervisor on the form provided by the State Committee of Psychologists, unless applicant previously submitted for a provisional license issued by the committee;

(D) An attestation of post-degree supervision submitted by the primary supervisor on the form provided by the State Committee of Psychologists;

(E) Submission of references on forms provided by the committee by three (3) mental health professionals other than post-doctoral supervisors who have known the applicant a minimum of two (2) years. The references cannot be from classmates; and

(F) Proof of submission of fingerprints to the Missouri State Highway Patrol's approved vendor for both a Missouri State Highway Patrol and Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) fingerprint background check. Any fees due for fingerprinting background checks shall be paid by the applicant unless applicant previously submitted for a provisional license issued by the committee.

(2) An application will not be considered as officially submitted unless it is typed or printed legibly, signed, notarized, and includes the application fee. The application fee must be in the form of a cashier's check, personal check, or money order.

AUTHORITY: sections 337.020.1 and 337.050.9, RSMo 2000. This rule originally filed as 4 CSR 235-1.030. Emergency rule filed Jan. 22, 1982, effective Feb. 1, 1982, expired June 1, 1982. Original rule filed Jan. 22, 1982, effective May 13, 1982. For intervening history, please consult the [Code of State Regulations](#). Rescinded and readopted:

Filed June 5, 2013.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will cost private entities one thousand nine hundred fifteen dollars (\$1,915) annually for the life of the rule. It is anticipated that the costs will recur for the life of the rule, may vary with inflation, and are expected to increase at the rate projected by the Legislative Oversight committee.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri State Committee of

Psychologists, PO Box 1335, Jefferson City, MO 65102, by facsimile at (573) 526-0661, or via email at scop@pr.mo.gov. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty (30) days after publication of this notice in the *Missouri Register*. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Division 2235—State Committee of Psychologists
Chapter 2—Licensure Requirements
PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

20 CSR 2235-2.060 Licensure by Examination. The board is proposing to add new subsection (3)(A) and renumber the subsequent subsections. The board is also proposing to amend subsections (2)(A) and (3)(C).

PURPOSE: This amendment defines a passing examination score between October 19, 1979, and March 31, 1995. It eliminates the requirement that the committee approve examination dates, times, and locations.

(2) Examination Process. The full examination for licensure shall consist of three (3) component examinations. Applicants will not be required to be reexamined over parts of the examination process they have passed.

(A) Objective Examination. Applicants shall be required to take the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology ([herein "EPPP"])
[administered each year at sites, dates and times approved by the committee].

(3) Passing Scores on Examination.

(A) From October 19, 1979, to March 31, 1995, an applicant will be deemed to have passed the EPPP examination if the score is equal to or greater than the national mean score for that examination as computed by the testing service. For purposes of computing an applicant's score, the standard error of the mean shall not be considered.

[(A)](B) An applicant, who sat for the EPPP between April 1, 1995, and April 30, 2001, will be deemed to have passed the examination if the score obtained is equal to or greater than seventy percent

(70%) at said sitting as computed by the testing service.

[(B)](C) Beginning May 1, 2001, an applicant is deemed to have passed the objective examination if he/she has obtained at least the minimum pass point

designated by the developer of the **EPPP** examination.
[(C)](D) An applicant is deemed to have passed the jurisprudence portion of the examination if he/she has seventy percent (70%) of the total items correct on that examination. An applicant must pass both the objective and jurisprudence examinations before being eligible for the oral examination.

AUTHORITY: sections 337.020 and 337.050.9, RSMo 2000. This rule originally filed as 4 CSR 235-2.060. Original rule filed July 30, 1991, effective Feb. 6, 1992. For intervening history, please consult the *Code of State Regulations*. Amended: Filed June 5, 2013.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file a statement in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Missouri State Committee of Psychologists, PO Box 1335, Jefferson City, MO 65102, by facsimile at (573) 526-0661, or via email at scop@pr.mo.gov. To be considered, comments must be received within thirty (30) days after publication of this notice in the *Missouri Register*. No public hearing is scheduled.

**Division 2235—State Committee of Psychologists
Chapter 2—Licensure Requirements
PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

20 CSR 2235-2.065 Licensure by Endorsement of Written EPPP Examination Score. The board is proposing to delete sections (2) and (3) and add a new section (2).

PURPOSE: This amendment defines what the committee considers an acceptable score on the Examination for the Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP) taken previously in another state or jurisdiction. It also eliminates the endorsement fee language.

[(2) If the score received by the applicant on the EPPP is equal to or higher than seventy percent (70%) as computed by the testing service, the committee shall endorse that score of the individual applying for licensure in Missouri. That endorsement shall relieve

the applicant of the necessity of retaking the EPPP. Before being issued a license, the applicant still must pass the oral examination and the jurisprudence examination and meet all other current requirements for licensure in this state at the time the application was received.]

[(3) Upon approval by the committee that the applicant has met the requirements of section (2), the applicant shall submit the nonrefundable endorsement fee. Upon receipt of the nonrefundable endorsement fee, the committee shall issue a license.]

(2) Passing Scores on Examination.

(A) October 19, 1979, to March 31, 1995, an applicant will be deemed to have passed the examination if the score is equal to or greater than the national mean score for that examination as computed by the testing service. For purposes of computing an applicant's score, the standard error of the mean shall not be considered.

(B) An applicant, who sat for the EPPP between April 1, 1995, and April 30, 2001, will be deemed to have passed the examination if the score obtained is equal to or greater than seventy percent

(70%) at said sitting as computed by the testing service.

(C) Beginning May 1, 2001, an applicant is deemed to have passed the objective examination if he/she has obtained at least the minimum pass point designated by the developer of the examination.

(D) An applicant is deemed to have passed the jurisprudence portion of the examination if he/she has seventy percent (70%) of the total items correct on that examination. An applicant must pass both the objective and jurisprudence examinations before being eligible for the oral examination.

(E) An applicant must meet all other current requirements for licensure in this state at the time the application was received.

AUTHORITY: sections 337.020 and 337.050.9, RSMo [Supp. 1998] 2000. This rule was originally filed as 4 CSR 235-1.035. This rule previously filed as 4 CSR 235-2.065. Original rule filed Oct. 4, 1988, effective Dec. 29, 1988. For intervening history, please consult the Code of State Regulations. Amended: Filed June 5, 2013.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

